



A Discriminative Topic Model using Document Network Structure

Weiwei Yang¹, Jordan Boyd-Graber², and Philip Resnik¹

 $^1 \textsc{University}$ of Maryland College Park and $^2 \textsc{University}$ of Colorado Boulder

August 8, 2016





Paper and Slides



Paper

http://ter.ps/bv5





http://ter.ps/bv7

Documents are Linked

A Discriminative Topic Model using Document Network Structure

Weiwei Yang	Jordan Boyd-Graber	Philip Resnik
Computer Science	Computer Science	Linguistics and UMIACS
University of Maryland	University of Colorado	University of Maryland
College Park, MD	Boulder, CO	College Park, MD
wwyang@cs.umd.edu	Jordan.Boyd.Graber@ colorado.edu	resnik@umd.edu

Our Paper

Documents are Linked

A Discriminative Topic Model using Document Network Structure

Weiwei Yang Computer Science University of Maryland College Park, MD wwyang@cs.umd.edu

Jordan Boyd-Graber Computer Science University of Colorado Boulder, CO Jordan .Boyd.Graber@ colorado.edu

Our Paper

Philip Resnik Linguistics and UMIACS University of Maryland College Park, MD resnik@umd.edu

BLEI@CS.BERKELEY.EDU

ANG@CS.STANFORD.EDU

JORDAN@CS.BERKELEY.EDU



HIERARCHICAL RELATIONAL MODELS FOR DOCUMENT NETWORKS

BY JONATHAN CHANG¹ AND DAVID M. BLEI²

Facebook and Princeton University

Relational Topic Model

[Chang and Blei, 2010]



Learning Latent Block Structure in Weighted Networks

CHRISTOPHER AICHER Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 christopher.aicher@colorado.edu

ABIGAIL Z. JACOBS Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309

AARON CLAUSET Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303 Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501

Weighted Stochastic Block Model

[Aicher et al., 2014]



Latent Dirichlet Allocation

David M. Blei Computer Science Division University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Andrew Y. Ng Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Michael L. Jordan Computer Science Division and Department of Statistics University of California Berkeles: CA 94720. USA

LDA [Blei et al., 2003]

Links Indicate Topic Similarity

A Discriminative Topic Model using Document Network Structure

Weiwei Yang Computer Science University of Maryland College Park, MD wwyang@cs.umd.edu

Jordan Boyd-Graber Computer Science University of Colorado Boulder, CO Jordan.Boyd.Graber@ colorado.edu

Philip Resnik Linguistics and UMIACS University of Maryland College Park, MD resnik@umd.edu

Our Paper: Topic Model



Latent Dirichlet Allocation

David M. Blei Computer Science Division University of California Berkeley, CA 94720. USA

Andrew Y. Ng Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Michael L Jordan Computer Science Division and Department of Statistics ANG@CS.STANFORD.EDU

BLEI@CS.BERKELEY.EDU

JORDAN@CS.BERKELEY.EDU

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

LDA [Blei et al., 2003] : Topic Model

HIERARCHICAL RELATIONAL MODELS FOR DOCUMENT NETWORKS

BY JONATHAN CHANG¹ AND DAVID M. BLEI²

Facebook and Princeton University

Relational Topic Model

[Chang and Blei, 2010]:

Topic Model

Learning Latent Block Structure in Weighted Networks

CHRISTOPHER AICHER Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 christopher.aicher@colorado.edu

ABIGAIL Z. JACOBS Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309

AABON CLAUSET Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303 Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501

Weighted Stochastic Block Model

[Aicher et al., 2014]

Links Indicate Topic Similarity

A Discriminative Topic Model using Document Network Structure

Weiwei Yang Computer Science University of Maryland College Park, MD wwyang@cs.umd.edu

Jordan Boyd-Graber Computer Science University of Colorado Boulder, CO Jordan.Boyd.Graber@ colorado.edu

Philip Resnik Linguistics and UMIACS University of Maryland College Park, MD resnik@umd.edu

Our Paper: Topic Model,

Document Network



Latent Dirichlet Allocation

David M. Blei Computer Science Division University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Andrew Y. Ng Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Michael L Jordan Computer Science Division and Department of Statistics ANG@CS.STANFORD.EDU

BLEI@CS.BERKELEY.EDU

JORDAN@CS.BERKELEY.EDU

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

LDA [Blei et al., 2003] : Topic Model

HIERARCHICAL RELATIONAL MODELS FOR DOCUMENT NETWORKS

BY JONATHAN CHANG¹ AND DAVID M. BLEI²

Facebook and Princeton University

Relational Topic Model

[Chang and Blei, 2010]:

Topic Model, Document Network



Learning Latent Block Structure in Weighted Networks

CHRISTOPHER AICHER Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 christopher.aicher@colorado.edu

ABIGAIL Z. JACOBS Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309

AABON CLAUSET Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303 Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501

Weighted Stochastic Block Model

[Aicher et al., 2014]

Links Indicate Topic Similarity

A Discriminative Topic Model using Document Network Structure

Weiwei Yang Computer Science University of Maryland College Park, MD wwyang@cs.umd.edu

Jordan Boyd-Graber Computer Science University of Colorado Boulder, CO Jordan.Boyd.Graber@ colorado.edu

Philip Resnik Linguistics and UMIACS University of Maryland College Park, MD resnik@umd.edu

Our Paper: Topic Model.

Document Network, Block Detection



Latent Dirichlet Allocation

David M. Blei Computer Science Division University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Andrew Y. Ng Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Michael L Jordan

BLEI@CS.BERKELEY.EDU ANG@CS.STANFORD.EDU

JORDAN@CS.BERKELEY.EDU

Computer Science Division and Department of Statistics University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

LDA [Blei et al., 2003] : Topic Model

HIERARCHICAL RELATIONAL MODELS FOR DOCUMENT NETWORKS

BY JONATHAN CHANG¹ AND DAVID M. BLEI²

Facebook and Princeton University

Relational Topic Model

[Chang and Blei, 2010]:

Topic Model, Document Network

Learning Latent Block Structure in Weighted Networks

CHRISTOPHER AICHER Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 christopher.aicher@colorado.edu

ABIGAIL Z. JACOBS Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309

AABON CLAUSET Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309 BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303 Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501

Weighted Stochastic Block Model

[Aicher et al., 2014]: Block Detection

• Make use of the rich information in document links

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Improve topic modeling
- Replicate existing links
- Predict held-out links

Outline

1 Block Detection and RTM

2 LBH-RTM

3 Link Prediction Results





Paper http://ter.ps/bv5 Slides http://ter.ps/bv7

Find densely-connected blocks in a graph



Find densely-connected blocks in a graph

Deterministic: Strongly connected components (SCC)



- Find densely-connected blocks in a graph
- Deterministic: Strongly connected components (SCC)
 - Puts any linked nodes into the same component
 - Does not consider link density



- Find densely-connected blocks in a graph
- Deterministic: Strongly connected components (SCC)
 - Puts any linked nodes into the same component
 - Does not consider link density

Probabilistic: Weighted stochastic block model (WSBM)



- A topic model for link prediction
- Jointly models topics and links





- A topic model for link prediction
- Jointly models topics and links
- Each topic is assigned a weight
 - Indicate the correlations between topics and links





- A topic model for link prediction
- Jointly models topics and links
- Each topic is assigned a weight
 - Indicate the correlations between topics and links
- Composes a regression value $R_{d,d'}$





- A topic model for link prediction
- Jointly models topics and links
- Each topic is assigned a weight
 - Indicate the correlations between topics and links
- Composes a regression value *R*_{*d*,*d*'}

• $\Pr(B_{d,d'} = 1) = \sigma(R_{d,d'})$





Outline

1 Block Detection and RTM



3 Link Prediction Results





Paper http://ter.ps/bv5 Slides http://ter.ps/bv7

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 → 釣んの

Relational Topic Model



Relational Topic Model with Weighted Stochastic Block Model



Relational Topic Model with Weighted Stochastic Block Model, Block Priors



Relational Topic Model with Weighted Stochastic Block Model, Block Priors



$$R_{d,d'} = \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{z}_{d,1} \\ \overline{z}_{d,2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{z}_{d,K} \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} \overline{z}_{d',1} \\ \overline{z}_{d',2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{z}_{d',K} \end{bmatrix}$$
Topical Feature













 $\Pr(B_{d,d'}=1) = \sigma(R_{d,d'})$ (Sigmoid Loss)



 $\Pr(B_{d,d'}=1) = \sigma(R_{d,d'})$ (Sigmoid Loss)



 $\Pr(B_{d,d'}=1) = \sigma(R_{d,d'})$ (Sigmoid Loss)

 $\rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}(B_{d,d'}) = \exp\left(-2\max\left(0, 1 - B_{d,d'}R_{d,d'}\right)\right) \text{ (Hinge Loss)}$



 $\Pr(B_{d,d'}=1) = \sigma(R_{d,d'})$ (Sigmoid Loss)

 $\rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}(B_{d,d'}) = \exp\left(-2\max\left(0, 1 - B_{d,d'}R_{d,d'}\right)\right) \text{ (Hinge Loss)}$

Make more effective use of side information when inferring topics.



LBH-RTM



3

э

Relational Topic Model with

- Lexical weights
- Block priors
- Hinge loss





Vanilla LDA: Infers topics based on words.



- Vanilla LDA: Infers topics based on words.
- Relational Topic Model with various features:
 - Encourages linked docs to have similar topic distributions.

(日) (四) (三) (三)

Links indicate topic similarity.



- Vanilla LDA: Infers topics based on words.
- Relational Topic Model with various features:
 - Encourages linked docs to have similar topic distributions.

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ モ ト ・ モ ト

- Links indicate topic similarity.
- Weighted Stochastic Block Model:
 - Does not understand the content at all.



- Vanilla LDA: Infers topics based on words.
- Relational Topic Model with various features:
 - Encourages linked docs to have similar topic distributions.
 - Links indicate topic similarity.
- Weighted Stochastic Block Model:
 - Does not understand the content at all.
 - Finds blocks and provides informative priors.

Outline

1 Block Detection and RTM

2 LBH-RTM

3 Link Prediction Results





Paper http://ter.ps/bv5 Slides http://ter.ps/bv7

- Cora: Scientific papers and citation links
- WebKB: Web pages and hyperlinks

Corpus	#Docs	#Links	#Vocabulary
Cora	2,362	4,231	1,240
WebKB	877	1,608	1,703

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Task



Training input: Training documents with links



Training Corpus

14/22



Training input: Training documents with links

Test input: Test documents only



Task

- Training input: Training documents with links
- Test input: Test documents only
- Predict links within test documents



Training Corpus



Test Corpus

Task

- Training input: Training documents with links
- Test input: Test documents only
- Predict links within test documents
- Predict links from test documents to training documents



Predictive link rank (PLR)

Predictive link rank (PLR)

■ For a document *d*, we compute and sort all other documents by their link probabilities to *d*

Rank	Doc ID	Link Probability
1	5	0.90
2	3	0.85
3	2	0.82
4	4	0.70
5	6	0.63
6	1	0.50

Predictive link rank (PLR)

- For a document d, we compute and sort all other documents by their link probabilities to d
- Then compute the average rank of actually linked documents

Rank	Doc ID	Link Probability	True Link?
1	5	0.90	
2	3	0.85	Yes
3	2	0.82	Yes
4	4	0.70	
5	6	0.63	Yes
6	1	0.50	

Predictive link rank (PLR)

- For a document d, we compute and sort all other documents by their link probabilities to d
- Then compute the average rank of actually linked documents
- PLR=(2+3+5)/3=3.33

Rank	Doc ID	Link Probability	True Link?
1	5	0.90	
2	3	0.85	Yes
3	2	0.82	Yes
4	4	0.70	
5	6	0.63	Yes
6	1	0.50	



Incrementally add components on RTM framework



 Incrementally add components on RTM framework

Block priors



 Incrementally add components on RTM framework

ヘロト 人間ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- Block priors
- Lexical weights



 Incrementally add components on RTM framework

・ロト ・雪ト ・ヨト

- Block priors
- Lexical weights
- Hinge loss



- Incrementally add components on RTM framework
 - Block priors
 - Lexical weights
 - Hinge loss
- Each component contributes to link prediction improvement

(日)、



- Incrementally add components on RTM framework
 - Block priors
 - Lexical weights
 - Hinge loss
- Each component contributes to link prediction improvement
- Strongly connected components ruin the link prediction

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Link Example

Using Fourier-Neural Recurrent Networks to Fit Sequential Input/Output Data

Renée Koplon Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics Wright State University Dayton, Ohio 45435 Eduardo D. Sontag Dept. of Mathematics Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

Paper 1 [Koplon and Sontag, 1997]

FOR NEURAL NETWORKS, FUNCTION DETERMINES FORM

Francesca Albertini

Eduardo D. Sontag

Department of Mathematics Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903 E-mail: albertin@hilbert.rutgers.edu, sontag@hilbert.rutgers.edu

Paper 2 [Albertini and Sontag, 1992]

Link Example — RTM



Topic Proportions by RTM

NN-1: network, neural, compute, activation, pattern, model NN-2: network, neural, learn, train, algorithm, local, weight

・ロト ・雪ト ・ヨト

Link Example — RTM



Topic Proportions by RTM

NN-1: network, neural, compute, activation, pattern, model NN-2: network, neural, learn, train, algorithm, local, weight

・ロト ・雪ト ・ヨト

Link Example — BS-RTM



NN: network, neural, learn, train, algorithm, weight, input

Link Example — LBS-RTM



Topic Proportions by LBS-RTM

NN-1: network, neural, learn, train, weight, input, architecture NN-2: learn, model, agent, reinforce, action, generate, strategy

Link Example — LBS-RTM



Topic Proportions by LBS-RTM

NN-1: network, neural, learn, train, weight, input, architecture NN-2: learn, model, agent, reinforce, action, generate, strategy

Link Example — LBH-RTM



Topic Proportions by LBH-RTM

NN: network, neural, train, learn, function, generate, weight

Link Example — LCH-RTM



Topic Proportions by LCH-RTM

NN-1: network, model, belief, algorithm, function, approximation NN-2: network, neural, train, learn, algorithm, weight, result

Link Example — LCH-RTM



Topic Proportions by LCH-RTM

NN-1: network, model, belief, algorithm, function, approximation NN-2: network, neural, train, learn, algorithm, weight, result

Outline

1 Block Detection and RTM

2 LBH-RTM

4 Conclusions

3 Link Prediction Results





Paper http://ter.ps/bv5 Slides http://ter.ps/bv7

Summary

LBH-RTM

- Topic model for link prediction
- Incorporate block priors from links
- Include lexical weights and hinge loss
- Future directions
 - Directed/undirected links
 - Binary/nonnegative real weight links

(日) (個) (E) (E) (E)

Link suggestion

Thanks

Collaborators

- Jordan Boyd-Graber (UC Boulder)
- Philip Resnik (UMD)

Funders Raytheon BBN Technologies

References

Aicher, C., Jacobs, A. Z., and Clauset, A. (2014). Learning latent block structure in weighted networks. <i>Journal of Complex Networks</i> .
Albertini, F. and Sontag, E. D. (1992). For neural networks, function determines form. In <i>Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control</i> .
Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., and Jordan, M. I. (2003). Latent Dirichlet allocation. Journal of Machine Learning Research.
Chang, J. and Blei, D. M. (2010). Hierarchical relational models for document networks. <i>The Annals of Applied Statistics.</i>
Koplon, R. and Sontag, E. D. (1997). Using Fourier-neural recurrent networks to fit sequential input/output data. <i>Neurocomputing</i> .
Yang, W., Boyd-Graber, J., and Resnik, P. (2015). Birds of a feather linked together: A discriminative topic model using link-based priors. In Proceedings of Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, and the second sec